

REMARKS

In the Office Action of October 10, 2007, the Examiner rejected claims 13-36 and 38. In the present Amendment, claims 13 and 28 have been amended, and claims 34-36 and 38 have been cancelled. Claims 1-6, 11-35, 40 and 41 are pending. Allowance of all pending claims is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. No new subject matter is being added by this response.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indication that claims 1-6, 11, 12, 40, and 41 are allowable is gratefully noted. The Examiner states that these claims were allowed in response to the applicant's arguments filed in the Amendment dated July 23, 2007. In that Amendment, applicant successfully argued that Strong failed to disclose or suggest "wherein the RFID transceiver is further configured to receive selected, predesignated data without interrogating the mobile device to reduce demand on the wireless transceiver."

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 13, 15-18, 23-26, and 28-33

Claims 13, 15-18, 23-26, and 28-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO 02/096032 to Grego ("Grego") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,892,052 to Kotola ("Kotola"), U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0007473 to Strong ("Strong"), U.S. Patent No. 6,150,921 to Werb ("Werb"), and U.S. Patent No. 5,960,344 to Mahany ("Mahany").

Independent claims 13 and 28 have been amended to recite "wherein the RFID transceiver is further configured to receive selected, predesignated data without interrogating the mobile device to reduce demand on the wireless transceiver." In the allowance of claim 1, the Examiner acknowledges that Strong fails to disclose this element. As such, claims 13 and 28 distinguish over the cited combination of references.

Claims 15-18 and 23-26 depend on claim 13, and claims 29-33 depend on claim 28. As such, these claims distinguish over the cited combination of references at least for the reasons discussed above.

Claim 14

Claim 14 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Grego in view of Kotola, Strong, and Mahany, and further in view of Korcharz. Claim 14 depends on claim 13. Korcharz fails to cure the deficiency in the rejection of claim 13. Accordingly, claim 14 distinguishes over the cited prior art at least for the reasons discussed above.

Claims 19-22

Claims 19-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Grego in view of Kotola, Strong, Mahany, and further in view of Werb. Claims 19-22 depend on claim 13. Werb fails to cure the deficiency in the rejection of claim 13. Accordingly, claims 19-22 distinguishes over the cited prior art at least for the reasons discussed above.

Claim 27

Claim 27 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Grego in view of Kotola, Strong, and Mahany, and further in view of Lee '705. Claim 27 depends on claim 13. Korcharz fails to cure the deficiency in the rejection of claim 13. Accordingly, claim 27 distinguishes over the cited prior art at least for the reasons discussed above.

Claims 34-36 and 38

Claims 34-36 and 38 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Grego in view of Kotola and additionally in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0039817 to Lee ("Lee '817"). These claims have been cancelled, thereby rendering the rejection moot.

Entry of Amendments

Entry of the present amendments after final is respectfully requested. Particularly, the amendments do not require new search or consideration on the part of the Examiner. The Examiner has considered the added limitations and determined that they distinguish over the prior art.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone

Application No. 10/799,064

Response to Office Action of October 10, 2007

the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if it would in any way advance prosecution of this case.

While no other fees are believed due, the applicant hereby requests that any other required fee to maintain pendency of this case, except for the Issue Fee, be charged to Deposit Account 50-2091.

Respectfully submitted,

INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ

Dated: November 29, 2007

By /CHAD C. ANDERSON/

Chad C. Anderson

Reg. No. 44,505

(480) 385-5060

Customer No. 29,906